

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,654	11/13/2001	Elizabeth Jane Acosta	YAMAP0793US	6310	
. 7590	0 04/30/2003				
Neil A. Duchez			EXAMINER		
Renner, Otto, Bo 1621 Euclid Ave			NGO, HUYEN LE		
19th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115		•	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•,			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			Me_
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/031,654		ACOSTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Julie-Huyen L. No		2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire \$, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to be become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				e merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	ı. ,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or € Application Papers	election requirement	ent.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approve	d b) disappro	ved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office act	ion.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			•	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Applicatio	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		•	•	apphoanony.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	·	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I. The species of First embodiment drawing to a half-wave retarder and a quarter-wave LC untwisted dark state $\Phi=0^{\circ}$ (figure 11).
- II. The species of Second embodiment drawing to a half-wave retarder and a quarter-wave LC low twist Φ dark state (figure 17).
- III. The species of Third embodiment drawing to an internal quarter-wave retarder and a half-wave LC untwisted dark state Φ =0° (figure 19).
- IV. The species of Fourth embodiment drawing to a specific wavelength retarder and a LC low twist Φ providing the dark state (figures 20a-c).
- V. The species of Fifth embodiment drawing to configurations where the angle (θ_1) between the polarizer and LC layer satisfies θ_2 = θ_1 , where θ_1 is the angle between the polarizer and half-wave retarder, low twist state Φ provides the dark state (figure 24).
- VI. The species of Sixth embodiment drawing to fixed half-wave retarder and variable thickness LC layer with low twist state Φ providing the dark state (figure 29).
- VII. The species of Seventh embodiment drawing to two half-wave retarders and 3/4-wave LC untwisted dark state $\Phi = \lambda/72$) (figure 33).

Art Unit: 2871

VIII. The species of Eighth embodiment drawing to two half-wave retarders and 1/4-wave LC low twist Φ providing the dark state (figure 35).

IX. The species of Ninth embodiment drawing to a (23 λ /72), λ /2 (half-wave) retarder and LC (23 λ /72) untwist dark state Φ =0° (figure 37).

X. The species of Tenth embodiment drawing to an achromatic configuration and LC having half-wave (λ /2) retarder, quarter-wave retarder and 1/4-wave LC layer (figure 38).

XI. The species of Eleventh embodiment drawing to an achromatic configuration and LC having varying LC layer thickness and high twist dark state (figure 40).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as listed above in each Species.

Therefore, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify <u>ALL the claims and drawings readable on the elected species</u>, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Currently, there is no generic claim.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 2871

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-3508. The Examiner can normally be reached on T-Friday.

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Robert H. Kim can be reached at (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-4709 for regular communications and (703) 746-4709 for After Final communications. Please contact the Examiner before faxing any paper to the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

April 21, 2003

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2871

Huyen L'. VIgo